

AQUIND Limited

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

Environmental Statement – Volume 3 – Appendix 2.1 NGET Cost Benefit Analysis Methodology

The Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 – Regulation 5(2)(a)

The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

Document Ref: 6.3.2.1

PINS Ref.: EN020022



AQUIND Limited

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

Environmental Statement – Volume 3 – Appendix 2.1 NGET Cost Benefit Analysis Methodology

PINS REF.: EN020022

DOCUMENT: 6.3.2.1

DATE: 14 NOVEMBER 2019

WSP

WSP House

70 Chancery Lane

London

WC2A 1AF

+44 20 7314 5000

www.wsp.com



DOCUMENT

Document	6.3.2.1 Environmental Statement – Volume 3 – Appendix 2.1 NGET Cost Benefit Analysis Methodology
Revision	001
Document Owner	WSP UK Limited
Prepared By	A. Hardwick
Date	7 November 2019
Approved By	M. McGuckin
Date	7 November 2019

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

PINS Ref.: EN020022

Document Ref.: Environmental Statement Appendix 2.1 NGET Cost Benefit Analysis Methodology

November 2019



CONTENTS

APPENI	DIX 2.1 NGET COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	1
1.1.	INTRODUCTION	1

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

PINS Ref.: EN020022

Document Ref.: Environmental Statement Appendix 2.1 NGET Cost Benefit Analysis Methodology

November 2019

APPENDIX 2.1 NGET COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

1.1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1.1.1. As part of the economic assessment, NGET have undertaken a cost benefit analysis to account for the total life cost of the options. As part of this assessment;
 - NGET utilised the capital costs of the options as provided by the Transmission **Owners**
 - NGET calculated the operational constraint costs by taking into equipment unavailability due to failure and maintenance. Assumptions on the cost of energy, failure rates, Mean time to repair ('MTTR'), Mean time between failure ('MTBF'), mean time between planned maintenance ('MTBM') will be based on industry agreed figures where available or Transmission Owner assumptions based on existing practice.
 - NGET calculated the Net Present Value using the Spackman approach which is used in discounting CBAs that involve private investment for public benefit1
- 1.1.1.2. Note that it needs to be further considered in the subsequent iterations of the CION if in Approach 1 only the incremental difference between the costs of SC1 boundary reinforcement works and works specific for AQUIND Interconnector needs to be taken into account rather than both costs added together as carried out here.

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

PINS Ref.: EN020022

Document Ref.: Environmental Statement Appendix 2.1 NGET Cost Benefit Analysis Methodology

November 2019

WSP

Page 1 of 1

¹ https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/discounting-cost-benefit-analysis-involving-privateinvestment-public-benefit

